See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328043797

Searching for solutions to the conflict over Europe's oldest forest

Article in Conservation Biology · October 2018 DOI: 10.1111/cobi.13229

CITATION: 3	S	reads 341	
7 autho	rs, including:		
	Maciej Kubon University of Agriculture in Krakow 85 PUBLICATIONS 341 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	Agnieszka Latawiec Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro 64 PUBLICATIONS 1,934 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	
0	Fabio Rubio Scarano Federal University of Rio de Janeiro 188 PUBLICATIONS 6,137 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	Adam Drosik Opole University 27 PUBLICATIONS 35 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Nature Map View project

Sustaining the land from the ground up: developing soil carbon and soil ecosystem services valuation frameworks for tropical soils View project

Searching for solutions to the conflict over Europe's oldest forest

Maciej Kuboń,¹ Agnieszka E. Latawiec D,^{1,2,3,4,5}* Fabio Rubio Scarano,^{6,7} Adam Drosik,⁸ Bernardo B.N. Strassburg,^{2,3,7} Włodzimierz Grzebieniowski,⁹ and Juan Gomes Bastos⁵

¹Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Informatics, Faculty of Production and Power Engineering, University of Agriculture in Kraków, Balicka 116B, 30-149, Kraków, Poland

²Rio Conservation and Sustainability Science Centre, Department of Geography and the Environment, Pontifícia Universidade Católica, 22453900, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³International Institute for Sustainability, Estrada Dona Castorina 124, 22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, U.K.

⁵Escola Nacional de Botânica Tropical (ENBT), Rua Pacheco Leão, 2040- Solar da Imperatriz, 22460-036, Horto, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ⁶Fundação Brasileira Desenvolvimento Sustentável, R. Eng. Álvaro Niemeyer, 76 - São Conrado, 22610-180, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁷Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21941-970, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁸Institute of Political Science, Faculty of Social Science, University of Opole, Katowicka 89, 45-061, Opole, Poland

⁹Forest Services Enterprise, Wrzos, Księżycowa 18, 33-112, Tarnowiec, Poland

The Białowieża Forest World Heritage site is one of the last remaining primeval forests in lowland Europe and is a refuge for European Bison (Bison bonasus), the largest land mammal on the continent (Table 1). A decade-long conflict about how to protect and sustainably use Białowieża Forest (Schiermeier 2016) has been exacerbated recently by a plan for a 5-fold increase in logging in Białowieża Forest District (Ministry of Environment [MoE] 2016) and an outbreak of spruce bark beetles (Ips typographus), an insect that kills weakened spruce trees. Despite protests, a controversial decision backed up by State Forests to increase logging in Białowieża was approved in March 2016 by the Polish Ministry of Environment (MoE 2016). The primary reason given for increased logging is the urgent need to address the beetle outbreak, which has affected over 30% of the trees and is thus threatening the entire ecosystem. Logging was identified by forest scientists as the best way to fight the beetle (Trebski 2016). Executives of the State Forests organization contend foresters are not attempting to profit and that limits on logging were determined by independent experts who considered the welfare of trees and species diversity (Sowa et al. 2016). However, ecologists claim that logging is inefficient and that dead wood is indispensable to the functioning of the forest (Grodzki et al. 2006), pointing out that the largest outbreaks of the beetle occurred in areas where sick and dead trees were being logged. Moreover, for logging to effectively control the beetle, 80% of the infested trees would need to be removed (Fahse & Heurich 2011), which was not done in Białowieża (Bobiec et al. 2016). Activists have blocked logging on a regular basis.

We considered the dispute over Białowieża in financial, social, and political contexts. The actors involved in the conflict (Fig. 1) have different interests and perspectives, most of which are supported by science. We considered the positions of selected actors and how they represent some of the dimensions of the conflict to provide a perspective that could shed new light on the issue and foster constructive discussion in the search for long-term solutions.

Revenue data from the regional forest industry are difficult to obtain because only 30% of the wood is publicly auctioned (data are confidential under the secrecy of sales clause), whereas 70% is sold within each regional forest with competitive prices (negotiated beforehand with regular buyers) (State Forests 2010). The value of regional sales is estimated at US\$22.5 million over

*email a.latawiec@iis-rio.org

1

Article impact statement: Decision making on Białowieża Forest will affect local people and biodiversity and ecosystem services of worldwide importance.

Paper submitted January 22, 2018; revised manuscript accepted August 24, 2018.

Dates	Facts		Comments
XV Century	strict protection of the area for game hunting	declared by the Royal Fa	amily of Wladyslaw Jagiello
1931	foundation of Białowieża National Park	Europe's primeval fore free-roaming bison; co centuries old oaks and exceptional opportun 0.6% of forest area in 1 country's area; except Białowieża Forest is m forests is managed by ha)	parks in Europe; one of the last fragments of est; habitat for several hundred European ontains a variety of habitats, including I elms; transboundary property offering ities for biodiversity conservation; occupies Poland (forests occupy one-third of the t for this park, the remaining area of nanaged by the State Forests; 83% of Polish the State Forests (7.6 million ha of 9.2 million
1979	World Cultural Heritage		UNESCO list of heritage sites
1992	extension of the World Heritage site	World Heritage Committee approved extension (up to 141,9 ha with buffer zone of 166,7 ha) of the UNESCO World Heritage site Belovezhskaya Pushcha/Białowieża Forest, Belarus, Poland (2014), which became transboundary Białowieża Forest, Belarus, Poland (1 of 3 in Europe and 7 worldwide)	
2004	nominated to be part of Natura		f protected areas stretches across all 28 EU
	2000	countries	
Public opinion		EUROPEAN UNION	Figure 1. A flow chart of actions
Media			taken by groups in the conflict over the Białowieża Forest (dashed lines, actors arguing for no logging, natural regeneration, ecotourism,
	ntal Organisations Greenpeace)	INTEREST GROUPS	and World Heritage Site protection and believing logging is for profit; solid lines, actors arguing for active protection and nonprofit logging
Ecologists/ environmental	Local Researchers Timber Fo	presters STAKEHOLDERS	and believing logging benefits local

Table 1. Events and dates of events relative to conservation of Białowieża Forest.

10 years (MoE 2016; Sowa et al. 2016). These forests also provide revenue from ecotourism, which for Białowieża is US\$20-25 million/year. Moreover, approximately 40% of the citizens in the region (900 of the 2150) earn income from tourism, and more than twice as many local citizens work in the tourism industry as in the forestry sector (Stokstad 2017). In other words, tourism likely generates 10 times more benefits for the region than forestry.

Although the returns from logging in Białowieża Forest are low, the perceived value is often the opposite because of the contribution of the forestry sector to the Polish economy overall. State Forests owns 1.9 billion m³ of wood, and wood exports are valued at US\$13 billion/ year (PLN45 billion) in forest-related products, which is 10% of all Polish exports. Poland is the 10th-largest producer of furniture in the world and the fourth-largest exporter. The majority (89%) of State Forests' revenue result from wood sales, totaling US\$2 billion in 2016 (PLN7.4 billion). The misperception that logging in Białowieża

S ver ines, n. ion it: tive g ocal population through wood sales and *bome beating).*

Forest makes an important contribution to Poland's economy is reinforced by the strong forestry lobby. The conflict over whether to log Białowieża Forest therefore goes beyond the desire for what is best for the forest and is related to value perception. Some actors view Białowieża Forest as a plantation that needs careful management, whereas others believe natural processes should be allowed to proceed.

To understand the complexity of the conflict, one needs to consider the political arena. When the conflict began in 2015, Poland was experiencing significant political changes. Then newly appointed minister of the environment strongly supported State Forests and stated before the elections that Białowieża Forest was rotting and that 200,000 m³ of timber per year should be cleared (Augustyn 2014). Representatives of the European Commission visited Białowieża Forest in June 2016, after which the commission plead for an immediate halt to logging. Logging, however, continued. In November 2017, the European Commission sued Poland for infringement of the Habitat Directive and Bird Directive in the Court of Justice of the European Union, which imposed a fine of $\notin 100,000/day$. The European Court of Justice also referred to the precautionary principle and the danger of irreparable harm from logging of old growth. The harvesters were withdrawn from Białowieża Forest. In its recent ruling, the court ordered the immediate revocation of logging permits and stated that Poland had failed to fulfill its obligations to protect the Forest (CoJ 2018). In January 2018, the minister was dismissed and the future of the Białowieża Forest remains uncertain.

The conflict over Białowieża Forest emerged at the science-policy interface and is relevant to governance of socioecological systems. For science to influence policy, 3 properties are required: credibility, relevance, and legitimacy (Sarkki et al. 2014). For example, the frequently cited evidence of Six et al. (2014) for direct control of the beetle or indirect control via thinning to increase the tree's own defenses is often refuted based on the argument that it is not relevant to local circumstances. Moreover, if the forest is considered a coupled human and natural system, then perhaps no single disciplinary approach can resolve the conflict and interdisciplinary cooperation is needed. If 1 or more actors involved have not been heard in the process, the decisions may be perceived as illegitimate, even if they are based on science (Sarkki et al. 2015). Therefore, the case also fits the information-deficit model (Cáceres et al. 2016), where the necessary science to back up decisions is not available or policy makers lack an understanding of the available science (e.g., Posner et al. 2016). Often, when science gaps occur, a power-dynamics model (Cáceres et al. 2016) exists, in which an inherently political decision is the ultimate aim and science is only one of many elements considered (e.g. Azevedo-Santos 2017).

Considering both models, wherein robust, relevant, and legitimate science is adequately communicated to capable decision makers, the solution to the conflict may arise from appropriate engagement with all stakeholders (Tucker 2010). Participatory governance has become a central element of environmental governance and represents a new layer in hybrid governance models composed of state-centered, market-based, and localbased mechanisms (De Castro et al. 2016). Many conflicts related to protected areas have been resolved through a participatory, adaptive-management approach (Agrawal 2000). Stakeholder analysis (or in-depth social mapping) can be used in the participatory negotiations (Reed et al. 2009). This method identifies stakeholders who are affected by or can affect conflict and thus identifies points in the decision making process where conflicting interests may need alignment and where compromises need to be made. However, 2 factors are irrefutable in the case of Białowieża: this forest is a World Heritage site (despite claims to the contrary [see

Minister's statement on the second day of government meeting on 21 June 2017; available from http://sejm.gov. pl/Sejm8.nsf/wypowiedz.xsp?posiedzenie=44&dzien= 2&wyp=3&type=A&symbol=WYPOWIEDZ_POSLA& id=390]) and the EU Habitat Directive and Bird Directive has to be followed given that Poland is an EU member. Because participatory mechanisms are not flawless (e.g., Newig et al. 2017), the existence of these factors and the security they provide must be recognized. Furthermore, a potential weakness of the participatory processes is that privileged actors retain their authority to govern in a given arena while maintaining a façade of decentralization and democratization. Even with its flaws, the participatory approach has proven efficient in similar conflicts. By bringing all stakeholders to the table and analyzing the economic, social, cultural, and environmental impacts of each potential solution, an appropriate approach can be identified to address the conflict over Białowieża Forest and protect a range of ecosystem services and multilateral values in this World Heritage site.

Literature Cited

- Agrawal A. 2000. Adaptive management in transboundary protected areas: The Bialowieza National Park and Biosphere Reserve as case study. Environmental Conservation 27:326–333.
- Augustyn M. 2014. Two questions (in Polish 'Dwa pytania'). Nasz Dziennik 21 January. Available from https://naszdziennik. pl/polska-kraj/65876,dwa-pytania.html (accessed January 2018).
- Azevedo-Santos V. 2017. Removing the abyss between conservation science and policy decisions in Brazil. Biodiversity Conservation 26:1745-1752.
- Bobiec A, et al. 2016. Why dead spruce is needed for Bialowieza Forest? (in Polish: Dlaczego martwe świerki są potrzebne w Puszczy Białowieskiej?) Las Polski 7:14-16.
- Cáceres DM, Silvetti F, Díaz S. 2016. The rocky path from policy-relevant science to policy implementation—a case study from the South American Chaco. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 19:57–66.
- CoJ (Court of Justice). 2018. Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 17 April 2018. European Commission v Republic of Poland. Case C-441/17. Available from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/ legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:62017CJ0441.
- De Castro F, Hogenboom B, Baud M. 2016. Introduction: environment and society in contemporary Latin America. Pages 1–25 in De Castro F, Hogenboom B, Baud M, editors. Environmental governance in Latin America. Palgrave MacMillan, Hampshire, United Kingdom.
- Fahse L, Heurich M. 2011. Simulation and analysis of outbreaks of bark beetle infestations and their management at the stand level. Ecological Modelling 222:1833-1846.
- Grodzki W, et al. 2006. Effects of intensive versus no management strategies during an outbreak of the bark beetle Ips typographus (L.)(Col.: Curculionidae, Scolytinae) in the Tatra Mts. in Poland and Slovakia. Annals of Forest Science **63**:55–61.
- MoE (Ministry of Environment). 2016. Legal Act DLP-I.611.16.2016. Warszawa, 25 March 2016.
- Newig J, Challies E, Jager NW, Kochskaemper E, Adzersen A. 2017. The environmental performance of participatory and collaborative governance: a framework of causal mechanisms. Policy Studies Journal 46:269-297.
- Posner SM, McKenzie E, Ricketts TH. 2016. Policy impacts of ecosystem services knowledge. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113:1760–1765.

- Reed MS, et al. 2009. Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. Journal of Environmental Management 90:1933–1949.
- Sarkki S, Niemelä J, Tinch R, van den Hove S, Watt A, Young J. 2014. Balancing credibility, relevance and legitimacy: a critical assessment of trade-offs in science-policy interfaces. Science and Public Policy 41:194-206.
- Sarkki S, et al. 2015. Adding 'iterativity' to the credibility, relevance, legitimacy: a novel scheme to highlight dynamic aspects of sciencepolicy interfaces. Environmental Science and Policy **54**:505-512.
- Schiermeier Q. 2016. Polish scientists protest over plan to log in Białowieża Forest. Nature **530**:393.
- Six DL, Biber E, Long E. 2014. Management for mountain pine beetle outbreak suppression: does relevant science support current policy? Forests 5:103-133.
- Sowa J, et al. 2016. Opinion of the Scientific Council for Forestry reporting to the Prime Minister of Poland, State Forests, Warsaw. Available from http://www.lasy.gov.pl.
- State Forests. 2010. Directive nr 48 of SF CEO, 6 October 2010.
- Stokstad E. 2017. Last stands. Science 358:1240-1243.
- Trębski K. 2016. Plan dla Puszczy Białowieskiej. Głos lasu, April. S. 8-9.
- Tucker CM. 2010. Learning on governance in forest ecosystems: lessons from recent research. International Journal of the Commons 4:687-706.

View publication stats